EJMR
ERA'S JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH
p-ISSN: 2348-9839, e-ISSN: 2394-5222 | www.ejmr.org

Volum 12 Issue 1
REVIEW ARTICLE

Clinical Relevance and Implementation of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Hospital Environments: A Review

Dinah Lorde[1], Zahid Siddiqui[2], Phebe Samuel[3], Danial Saeed[3], Sarah Hassan[4], Samiya Qidwai[5]

Received on: 23-03-2025 Published on: 29-04-2025

ABSTRACT

Aim: Antimicrobial resistance in the hospital plays very significant role in public health and a very serious problem and antibiotics resistance of patients. Antimicrobial stewardship (ASPs) implementation in hospital setup is very necessary for these concerned.

Objective: The main objective of this study to find out the main problems regarding antimicrobial stewardship in hospital, managements, reduce resistance, and lower healthcare expenses.

Methods: We used scientific indexed journals platforms for to search the related papers and review articles which we used in this study. We selected incorporate papers and articles from Research gate, Scopus, and Research square, and PubMed platform.

Results: This article investigates the problems and solutions linked to the efficient use of ASPs in healthcare environments and their therapeutic consequences.

Conclusion: Ultimately, while ASPs show considerable variation, they are quite effective in lowering antibiotic use and improving clinical and financial outcomes.

Keywords: *Antibiotic Resistance, Antimicrobial Stewardship, Hospital Infections, Infection Control*

Era's Journal of Medical Research. 12(1);2025 [doi: 10.24041/ejmr.2025.5]

INTRODUCTION

A major problem in modern medicine, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) threatens the long-term viability of healthcare systems all over the world, patient safety, and therapeutic efficacy. Overprescribing, improper use, and insufficient antibiotic dosages-especially in healthcare environments-have hastened the emergence and spread of MROs. These resistant bacteria lower our capacity to treat common infectious diseases and cause longer illness, more regular complications, and a greater death risk. Longer hospital stays, the need for more costly second- or third-line medications, and indirect expenses like productivity loss and higher demand for critical care all contribute to the significant economic burden AMR causes.[1] Many immunocompromised individuals exist, invasive surgeries are common, and antimicrobials are so widely used in hospitals that they are especially susceptible to the spread of resistant infections. AMR compromises public health systems as a whole and harms patient outcomes since it enables the movement of resistant organisms from one community or country to another. In the midst of this declining scenario, Antimicrobial Stewardship (AMS) has grown increasingly important for strategies to reduce AMR.

A systematic approach to antimicrobial agent optimization, AMS is intended to maximize the best possible therapeutic results while reducing side effects, including as toxicity and the selection of resistant strains. In the antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) programme Hospital, nursing home and clinic play a key role and maintains a good criteria and management of patients health issues and monitoring of correct antibiotics and correct doses of them. These programmes take a guarantee and took the patients that the correct uses of antibiotics for a certain and correct time period.[1,2]

In the Asps programme hospital management, pharmacists and nurses play very significant role. The correct coordination between doctor and staff play a key role in patients' health treatment and can improve patient profile. These programme also guide health workers and doctors to

[1]Pre-Medical Science, American University of Barbados School of Medicine, Wildey, Bridgetown, Saint Michael, BB11100, Barbados
[2]Department of Pathology, American University of Barbados School of Medicine, Wildey, Bridgetown, Saint Michael, BB11100, Barbados
[3]American University of Barbados School of Medicine, Wildey, Bridgetown, Saint Michael, BB11100, Barbados
[4]Department of Microbiology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, UP, India
[5]Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, UP, India
Corresponding Author: Zahid Siddiqui
Email: drzahidsiddiqui@gmail.com
How to cite: Lorde D, Siddiqui Z, Samuel P, Saeed D, Hassan S, Qidwai S. Clinical Relevance and Implementation of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Hospital Environments: A Review. Era J Med Res. 2025;12(1):23-26.

aware regarding correct dose and patient safety regarding uses of drugs and doses of antibiotics with a normal cost.[3]

Constant research, policy support, and institutional commitment are absolutely vital to strengthen AMS initiatives and ensure their inclusion into mainstream clinical practice. Antimicrobial stewardship in hospitals will be looked at in this paper from all angles: its concepts, its components, and the clinical outcomes it generates. Furthermore, it assesses the results of several approaches, investigates the tactics employed to maximize antibiotic use in response to changing resistance issues, and offers suggestions for advancement.[4] This article investigates the problems and solutions linked to the efficient use of ASPs in healthcare environments and their therapeutic consequences.

Antimicrobial Stewardship's Impact on Clinical Practice

The main objective of antimicrobial stewardship policies is to lower the growth and spread of antibiotic-resistant organisms. ASPs call for the sensible use of antibiotics—especially broad-spectrum ones that greatly stress microbial populations. ASPs mostly rely on de-escalation of empirical therapy guided by culture an sensitivity results, therefore requiring prior authorization for the use of certain high-risk or broad-spectrum antibiotics and enforcing formulary restrictions to limit access to particular agents unless clinically justified. These strategies taken together lower the selective pressure driving the evolution and spread of resistant microorganisms by reducing unnecessary antibiotic exposure. Numerous studies have shown that healthcare organizations with effectively run and maintained Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs) show notably lower rates of colonization and infection by resistant pathogens. Hospitals of this sort have lower rates of vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE), extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). The decline of these pathogens not only improves treatment results but also reduces hospital transmission problems and healthcare expenses. Furthermore, effective ASPs sometimes encourage a more general antimicrobial awareness among professionals, therefore enhancing long-term resistance lowering initiatives.[5,6]

Better Patient Health Outcomes

One of the main objectives of Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs) is to guarantee the sensible use of antibiotics, therefore enhancing therapeutic outcomes for patients. When antibiotics are administered correctly—at the precise dose, during the appropriate time, and targeting the probable bacteria—patients recover more quickly and have better general health outcomes. ASPs are especially crucial in ensuring rapid and accurate antimicrobial therapy in acute, life-threatening conditions like sepsis, where urgent and appropriate treatment is essential. Wrong or protracted therapy could lead to more agony and even death. Though APs can help physicians choose the best course of antibiotics that won't harm their patients by steering them to follow local resistance patterns and treatment recommendations, antibiotic resistance is a genuine issue. While increasing survival rates at the same time, ASPs seek to minimize the negative consequences of antibiotic therapy. This covers lowering the frequency of negative drug reactions, which can vary from mild gastrointestinal discomfort to life-threatening allergies or organ damage. By restricting the improper or unnecessary use of antibiotics—often as a result of broad-spectrum antibiotic exposure and can cause significant side effects, extended hospitalization, or even death ASPs also help to prevent superinfections such as Clostridioides difficile infections (CDIs). A major advantage of ASPs is their reduction of recurrence and treatment failure rates. These initiatives eliminate infections by means of ideal duration and treatment choice, therefore lowering antibiotic overexposure in patients.[5,7] Over-treatment (which could cause resistance) or under-treatment (which could cause persistent infection) both harm the patient's microbiota, therefore this balance prevents such negative effects. The ultimate goals of ASPs, which advocate a more individualized, evidence-driven approach to antibiotic treatment, are better use of healthcare resources, fewer issues, and improved patient results.[3,8]

Money Benefits

ASPs greatly benefit healthcare systems. A SPs can help to prevent overuse and misuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics, which are sometimes more costly and associated with a greater risk of negative effects, by encouraging their sensible use. Problems like drug-related toxins, Clostridioides difficile infections, and multidrug-resistant organisms can all result from antibiotic misuse, which can raise the overall cost of treatment. APs assist to lower unnecessary drug costs by steering physicians toward evidence-based prescribing trends, therefore offsetting these risks.[9] Appropriate antimicrobial stewardship helps to create more tailored medications and enhances infection control as well. Patients gain from quicker healing times, shorter hospital stays, and less problems. When their hospital stays are shorter, patients gain from improved health outcomes, reduced staff burden, and lower resource use expenses. Active ASPs have another advantage in lowering the need for costly isolation measures and later diagnostic tests hospitals usually see. These actions can reduce the probability of resistant disease outbreaks in healthcare institutions, which are well known for their difficulty and high cost to control. All of these elements underline the financial relevance of ASPs, which implies that first investments in stewardship programs could ultimately pay out by means of more effective use of healthcare system resources and lower costs.[10]

Efficient (ASPs) are based on fundamental characteristics of ASPs defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the IDSA. These factors are absolutely essential to guarantee that ASPs are efficient, sustainable, and flexible to the changing dynamics of clinical therapy and antimicrobial resistance.[11]

Organizational Commitment and Resource Allocation

The success of ASPs relies on hospital leadership demonstrating unambiguous commitment to stewardship initiatives. This calls for adequate technical, human, and financial resources. Typically, leadership support was formal declarations of commitment, the inclusion of stewardship objectives into strategic plans, and the allocation of required resources—including clinical decision support systems, electronic health record (EHR) integration, and ASP team members' allocated time. Institutions also have to allow the development of sustainable financial plans to ensure program viability.[12,13]

Program Leadership Designated

Usually headed by an infectious diseases (ID) doctor or a clinical pharmacist with specialized knowledge in infectious diseases, a well-run ASP should have clearly defined leadership roles. These leaders control the stewardship results and the comprehensive program management. They manage daily operations, interact with several departments, and direct policy execution. Models of cooperative leadership have demonstrated more efficacy and cross-disciplinary collaboration. Among these are the ASP, which is co-led by a physician and a pharmacist.[13-15]

Participation of Specialized Pharmacists

Efficient ASP operation depends on pharmacists with particular knowledge in infectious diseases. Based on patient-specific characteristics, microbiological data, and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamics theories, their duties include maximizing antibiotic selection, dose, and duration. Their work determines prescribing recommendations, real-time therapy modification, and medication review. Their participation sharpens and customizes antimicrobial treatment, therefore preventing overuse and guiding resistance evolution.[11,16]

Fundamental ASP Interventions

ASP operations are based on a great number of strategic interventions. Clinicians are urged to follow institutionally sanctioned treatment protocols created in accordance with national or international standards. Re-evaluating antibiotic medication allows doctors to decide whether to keep, change, or de-escalate antibiotic treatment depending on culture results and clinical response 48 to 72 hours after start. Reducing overuse helps to limit the use of certain high-risk, broad-spectrum antimicrobials pending ASP team approval. The ASP team provides customized feedback to prescribers on a projected audit of antibiotic prescriptions to enhance the suitability of the drug.[5,17]

Oversight, Observation and Result Evaluation

To guarantee ongoing development, ASPs must participate in regular monitoring and assessment. This calls for monitoring, rates of antibiotic use for e.g., Days of Therapy per 1,000 patient-days. Most hospitalised infections' resistance patterns. Clinical outcomes including readmission rates, length of stay, Clostridioides difficile infection rates, and infection resolution rates. Data collected from surveillance activities helps to guide program modifications, enables benchmarking, and increases accountability through open reporting. Education and Cultural Change. A good ASP has to encourage a culture of stewardship among medical professionals. Continuous education programs determine how staff members are informed about evolving resistance patterns, new antibiotic drugs, and evidence-based prescribing practices.[18,19]

Challenges Integrating All Parts

Many hospitals in low- and middle-income countries lack the resources—including qualified personnel, sufficient laboratory facilities, and suitable funding—to carry out comprehensive ASPs. Problems with autonomy, ignorance, and long-standing prescription practices cause difficulties following ASP recommendations. A lack of knowledge on general data accessibility, resistance patterns, antibiotic use statistics, and microbiological data limits the capacity to track progress and make informed decisions. Sustainability—the capacity to keep success over time with institutional support—depends on the demonstration of continuous value through data and outcomes as well as steady leadership support.[20-22]

Future Prospects

Modern technology and methods increasingly determine ASP performance improvement. By allowing early therapy optimization, fast diagnostic technologies enable quick pathogen identification and resistance profiling. Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms help to predict personalized treatment plans and identify resistance patterns. Equal access to stewardship resources and training has to be ensured; to achieve this goal, international cooperation, policy harmonization, and capacity building are needed. The whole globe is impacted by antimicrobial resistance.

CONCLUSION

Hospitals should incorporate antimicrobial stewardship programs into their efforts to limit disease transmission. They help to keep antibiotics on the market, enhance patient care, and postpone the development of resistance. The efficient execution is supported by three fundamental

pillars: technological tool integration, institutional dedication, and multidisciplinary collaboration. AMR must be fought using innovative, sustainable, and globally coordinated strategies since the threat is always changing.

REFERENCES

1. Endale H, Mathewos M, Abdeta D. Potential Causes of Spread of Antimicrobial Resistance and Preventive Measures in One Health Perspective-A Review. Infect Drug Resist. 2023, Volume 16:7515–45. 10.2147/IDR.S428837
2. Salam MdA, Al-Amin MdY, Salam MT, et al. Antimicrobial Resistance: A Growing Serious Threat for Global Public Health. Healthcare. 2023, 11:1946. 10.3390/healthcare11131946
3. Ayukekbong JA, Ntemgwa M, Atabe AN. The threat of antimicrobial resistance in developing countries: causes and control strategies. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017, 6:47.
4. Leung E, Weil DE, Raviglione M, et al. The WHO policy package to combat antimicrobial resistance. Bull World Health Organ. 2011, 89:390–2. 10.2471/BLT.11.088435
5. Khadse SN, Ugemuge S, Singh C. Impact of Antimicrobial Stewardship on Reducing Antimicrobial Resistance. Cureus. Published Online First: 4 December 2023.
6. Akpan M, Ahmad R, Shebl N, et al. A Review of Quality Measures for Assessing the Impact of Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs in Hospitals. Antibiotics. 2016, 5:5.
7. Giamarellou H, Galani L, Karavasilis T, et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship in the Hospital Setting: A Narrative Review. Antibiotics. 2023, 12:1557.
8. Kaltenbach M. Konservative Therapie der koronaren Herzkrankheit. DMW-Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift. 1976 Feb;101(06):208-213.
9. Laxminarayan R, Matsoso P, Pant S, et al. Access to effective antimicrobials: a worldwide challenge. The Lancet. 2016, 387:168–75.
10. Doron S, Davidson LE. Antimicrobial stewardship. Mayo Clin Proc. 2011, 86:1113–23.
11. Resman F: Antimicrobial stewardship programs; a two-part narrative review of step-wise design and issues of controversy Part I: step-wise design of an antimicrobial stewardship program. Ther Adv Infect Dis. 2020, 7:2049936120933187.
12. Oliveira M, Antunes W, Mota S, et al. An Overview of the Recent Advances in Antimicrobial Resistance. Microorganisms. 2024, 12:1920.
13. Salam MA, Al-Amin MY, Salam MT, et al. Antimicrobial Resistance: A Growing Serious Threat for Global Public Health. Healthc Basel Switz. 2023, 11:1946.
14. Barlam TF, Childs E, Zieminski SA, et al. Perspectives of Physician and Pharmacist Stewards on Successful Antibiotic Stewardship Program Implementation: A Qualitative Study. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020, 7:ofaa229.
15. Degenaar CP, Thijssen C, Van der et al. Electrophoresis of gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase on cellogel. The appearance of the alpha2-beta band in positive LP-X sera. Clin Chim Acta Int J Clin Chem. 1976, 67:79–83.
16. Yoon YK, Kwon KT, Jeong SJ, et al. Guidelines on Implementing Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs in Korea. Infect Chemother. 2021, 53:617. 10.3947/ic.2021.0098
17. Dighriri IM, Alnomci BA, Aljahdali MM, et al. The Role of Clinical Pharmacists in Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs): A Systematic Review. Cureus. Published Online First: 8 December 2023.
18. Kim S-H, Yoon JG, Park HJ, et al. Effects of a comprehensive antimicrobial stewardship program in a surgical intensive care unit. Int J Infect Dis. 2021, 108:237–43.
19. Cantudo-Cuenca MR, Jiménez-Morales A, Martínez-de et al. Pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship programme in a small hospital without infectious diseases physicians. Sci Rep. 2022, 12:9501.
20. Rolfe R, Kwobah C, Muro F, et al. Barriers to implementing antimicrobial stewardship programs in three low- and middle-income country tertiary care settings: findings from a multi-site qualitative study. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2021, 10:60.
21. Engler D, Meyer JC, Schellack N, et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship Activities in Public Healthcare Facilities in South Africa: A Baseline for Future Direction. Antibiotics. 2021, 10:996.
22. Pierce J, Apisarnthanarak A, Schellack N, et al. Global Antimicrobial Stewardship with a Focus on Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis. 2020, 96:621–9.

Orcid ID:

Dinah Lorde - https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-3613-3337

Zahid Siddiqui - https://orcid.org/ 0009-0001-4121-3745

Phebe Samuel - https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-1915-0178

Danial Saeed - https://orcid.org/ 0009-0004-3294-146X

Sarah Hassan - https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-2682-7586

Samiya Qidwai - https://orcid.org/ 0009-0007-1441-0763